

From:

02/06/2008 22:43

8715 P.002/005

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR  
THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

RICHARD PALMERO

*Plaintiff*

vs.

Kevin Nathaniel Fox  
United States Magistrate JudgeMETRO-NORTH COMMUTER  
RAILROAD COMPANYCivil Action No.: 07-CV-11414(CM)(FM)*Defendant***CIVIL CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN**(for all cases except patent, IDEA and ERISA benefits  
cases, and cases subject to the Private Securities  
Litigation Reform Act)THOMAS P. HURLEY, Esq.  
Law Office of Kantor & Godwin, PLLC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
5800 Main Street  
Williamsville, New York 14221  
(716) 626-0404JOSE RIOS, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
347 Madison Ave  
New York, NY 10017  
(212)340-2538

1. This case is to be tried by a jury.
2. Discovery pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a) shall be exchanged by two weeks from February 22, 2008.
3. No additional parties may be joined after March 22, 2008.

Failure to proceed in accordance with the qualified immunity rules constitutes a waiver of the right to move for judgment on the ground of qualified immunity prior to trial. *Please identify any party who is moving to dismiss on qualified immunity grounds.*

USDS SDNY  
DOCUMENT  
ELECTRONICALLY FILED  
DOC #:  
DATE FILED: 2/7/08*Sonder*  
*Chen m ul*

From:

02/06/2008 22:43

1715 P.003/005

4. All discovery, *including expert discovery*, must be completed on or before July 31, 2008. (For personal injury, civil rights, employment discrimination or medical malpractice cases only): Plaintiff's deposition shall be taken first, and shall be completed by April 30, 2008. PLEASE NOTE: the phrase "all discovery, including expert discovery" means that the parties must select and disclose their experts' identities and opinions, as required by Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(2)(B), *well before* the expiration of the discovery period. Expert disclosures conforming with Rule 26 must be made no later than the following dates: Plaintiff's expert report(s) by May 31, 2008; Defendant's expert report(s) by June 30, 2008.

5. Judge McMahon's Rules governing electronic discovery apply automatically to this case. The parties must comply with those rules unless they supersede it with a consent order. The text of the order will be found at [www.nysd.uscourts.gov](http://www.nysd.uscourts.gov).

6. This case has been designated to the Hon. United States Magistrate Judge Frank Maas for resolution of discovery disputes. Do not contact Judge McMahon about discovery disputes; go directly to your assigned Magistrate Judge. Discovery disputes do not result in any extension of the discovery deadline or trial-ready date, and Judge McMahon must approve any extension of the discovery deadline in non-

From:

02/06/2008 22:43 #716 P.004/005

*pro-se* cases. The Magistrate Judge cannot change discovery deadlines unless you agree to transfer the case to the Magistrate Judge for all purposes. Judge McMahon does not routinely grant extensions so counsel are warned that if they wait until the last minute to bring discovery disputes to the attention of the Magistrate Judge, they may find themselves precluded from taking discovery because they have run out of time.

7. A joint pre-trial order in the form prescribed in Judge McMahon's individual rules, together with all other pre-trial submissions required by those rules (not including *in limine* motions), shall be submitted on or before April 29, 2008. Following submission of the joint pre-trial order, counsel will be notified of the date of the final pre-trial conference. In *limine* motions must be filed within five days of receiving notice of the final pre-trial conference; responses to *in limine* motions are due five days after the motions are made. Cases may be called for trial at any time following the final pre-trial conference.

8. No motion for summary judgment may be served after the date the pre-trial order is due. The filing of a motion for summary judgment does not relieve the parties of the obligation to file the pre-trial order and other pre-trial submissions on the assigned date.

From:

02/06/2008 22:43

#715 P.005/005

9. The parties may at any time consent to have this case tried before the assigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 636(c).

10. This scheduling order may be altered or amended only on a showing of good cause that is not foreseeable at the time this order is entered. *Counsel should not assume that extensions will be granted as a matter of routine.*

Dated: February 6, 2008  
Buffalo, New York

Upon consent of the parties:

/s/ Thomas P. Hurley, Esq.  
Law Offices of Kantor & Godwin, PLLC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
5800 Main Street  
Williamsville, NY 14221  
(716) 626-0404  
kantorgodwin@roadrunner.com

/s/ Jose Rios, Esq.  
Metro-North Commuter Railroad Co.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Legal Department  
347 Madison Avenue  
New York, NY 10017  
(212)340-2537  
rios@mnr.org